



Declassified III Fait - Safittized Copy Approved for Release 2012/09/27 : CIA-RDF 10-00 103R000302030001-0				

Declaration in Part - Sanitized Capy Approved for Pologo 2012/00/27 : CIA PDP10 00105P000303650001 0

Page 5 of 18 Pages 50X1-HUM

As shown by the experience of exercises and the results of research conducted at the Military Air Academy and the Military Academy i/n M. V. Frunze, the strength of the one operational airborne landing force employed in a front offensive operation usually did not exceed one airborne division. The landing was carried out on the second or third day of the operation, that is, when the success of the troops of the first operational echelon on the selected axis was determined, and the air defense system of the defending forces was already considerably weakened: some of the means were destroyed or were moving in connection with the retreat of the troops. The depth at which the airborne landing force was dropped as a rule did not exceed 200 kilometers. Up to 12 to 16 military transport aviation regiments were allocated to land one airborne division in one trip by parachute drop.

Success in negotiating enemy air defense largely depended on the nature of the flight and the actions of military transport aviation as a whole. Reducing the parameters of the combat formations of the military transport aviation units and large units by compressing them allowed reducing the depth of disposition to 100 to 300 kilometers, and the width of the flight zone -- to 40 kilometers. Proper selection of the flight profile was of no small importance. In the majority of cases the variant in which the flight up to the limit of long-range radar detection was carried out at altitudes of up to 7,000 meters, and up to the landing zone -- at altitudes of up to 1,500 meters, was acknowledged to be the optimum. The landing force was dropped from altitudes of 600 to 1,000 meters, and the aircraft returned to the front line at an altitude of up to 1,000 meters with subsequent climb to 3,000 to 4,000 meters. When flying at low altitudes the threat of enemy employment of Nike-Hercules surface-to-air missiles essentially was eliminated, and the aircraft detection range and the course profiles for firing all surface-to-air missiles were considerably reduced, as was the area of attacks by enemy fighters. The employment of radio and radar jamming was highly important to the success of the flight and landing of the landing force.

And finally, research results made it possible to conclude that in view of limited capabilities, not all enemy air defense means in the <u>front</u> zone may be subject to neutralization and destruction, but only those capable of effectively combating military transport aviation in its flight zone (see diagram).

Declassified in Part	- Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/09/27 : CIA-RDP10-00105R000302650001-0

Page 6 of 18 Pages

For example, we think that, first, air defense fighter aviation will be directed against the landing force from airfields located 100 to 150 kilometers from the front line, and then from 200 to 300 kilometers away. Surface-to-air missile means (Nike-Hercules and Hawk batteries) represent the greatest danger to military transport aviation aircraft flying at altitudes up to 6,000 meters in a zone 250 kilometers back from the front line and up to 200 kilometers wide, and at altitudes up to 1,500 meters in a zone 220 kilometers deep and 90 kilometers wide, respectively. In the latter case the fire of Nike-Hercules batteries actually will have little effect.

When the flight altitude is up to 1,500 meters, antiaircraft artillery means should be neutralized only within the width of the flight zone of the landing force, that is, up to 40 kilometers along the front and up to 150 kilometers into the depth. The enemy reconnaissance and control organs in essence must be neutralized along the entire front of the offensive, however, the destruction of long-range detection posts should be attempted first in a zone up to 300 kilometers wide and up to 350 kilometers deep.

Based on the foregoing as applied to the conditions of the theater of military operations being examined, we determined the approximate number of air defense installations (means) which had to be neutralized in support of the flight and landing of the airborne landing force, taking into account the possible status of the air defense system of the defending enemy by the end of the second or third day of the operation. These data are set forth in Table 1.

Thus, depending on the military transport aviation flight altitude (up to 1,500 or up to 6,000 meters), the number of enemy air defense installations which have to be neutralized will equal 27 to 35 and 34 to 43, respectively.* All these installations, as can be seen from the diagram, are within range of the strikes of the rocket troops and partially within range of the artillery fire of the front.

^{*}Not counting the fighter aviation airfields in the zone farther than 150 kilometers, which may be destroyed by long range aviation forces or, as a secondary mission, by front aviation.

Declassified in Part -	- Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/09/27 : CIA-RDP10-00105R000302650001-0

Page 7 of 18 Pages

Table 1

50X1-HUM

Number of enemy air defense installations which should be neutralized in support of the landing of an operational airborne landing force

	Number of installations					
Type of Installation	Number	By the end of D2 or D3				
Installation	within range		Those in the strike (fire) zone			
		Total	artillery	tactical missile	operational tactical missiles	
Antiaircraft batteries of automatic weapons	10-12	6-8	6-8	-	-	
Hawk SAM batteries	12-16	8-11	1 - 2	6-7	1-2	
Nike-Hercules SAM batteries	20-24	13-16	-	4-6	9-10 -	
Fighter aviation airfields (in zone up to 150 kilometers)	10-12*	7-8		-	7-8	
Important control (reconnaissance) posts	10-11**	6-8	-	3 - 4	3-4	
Total installations with flight of military transport aviation at altitudes of:		,				
up to 1,500 meters	42-52	27-35	7-10	9-11	11-14	
up to 6,000 meters	52-63	34-43	1-2	13-17	20-24	

*Of	а	total	οf	30	to	35	airfield	İs
**0f	а	total	of	15	to	20	control	posts

eclassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/09/27 : CIA-RDP10-00105R000302650001-0
Page 8 of 18 Pages 50X1-HUM
Now, to answer the question regarding the capabilities of the rocket troops and artillery of the <u>front</u> to neutralize the installations listed in the table, it is necessary to determine beforehand the duration of neutralization of the air defense means, the expenditure of missiles to neutralize each installation, the possible strength of the rocket troops and their combat (fire) output.
The minimum required duration of neutralization of air defense means in support of the flight and landing of the landing force as applied to the conditions we have accepted is 1.5 to two hours. That this is so can be seen from the following calculations:
the duration of neutralization of installations during the flight of military transport aviation to the front line at an aircraft flight speed of 500 kilometers per hour is equivalent to
$\frac{50 \text{ to } 100 \text{ km}}{500 \text{ kph}} = \frac{1}{10} \text{ to } \frac{1}{5} \text{ hour, or } 12 \text{ minutes;}$
the duration of neutralization during the flight from the front line to the landing area and back to a line in its own disposition located 50 kilometers from the front line, taking the length of the military transport aviation column (at least 100 kilometers) into account, is
$\frac{150 \text{ km} + 150 \text{ km} + 50 \text{ km} + 100 \text{ km}}{500 \text{ kph}}$ hour, or 60 minutes;
the duration of neutralization in the landing period is 30 to 40 minutes.
50X1-HUM

Page 9 of 18 Pages $50 \times 1 - HUM$

The expenditure of conventionally armed missiles to neutralize the most important air defense means depends on the yield of the warhead charges, the distance of the installations to be destroyed (missile flight range), the required degree of destruction of the target (installation) and its nature. The warheads of the tactical or operational-tactical missiles may be filled with conventional explosives (from 200 to 800 kilograms and more), napalm-type viscous incendiary mixtures, or means for radio and radar jamming.

Warheads with conventional explosive charges are basically intended for high-explosive effect at targets, where the main casualty-producing factor is the shock wave. According to the experience of the Second World War and postwar experiments, zones of heavy, medium and slight destruction are used in assessing the destructive effect of missiles against urban industrial-type installations. The radiuses of these zones are computed by the approximate formulas:

$$R_h = 0.3W^?$$
; $R_m = 1.5W^?$;
 $R_s = 5W^?$;

where W is the weight of the explosive in kilograms.*

The parameters of the zone of medium destruction may be used in assessing the fire effect on the neutralization of personnel, since it has been established that the parameters of the zone of slight destruction are unstable. The radiuses of the zones are defined by the following values:

Explosive weight, in kilograms	$R_{\mathbf{m}}$, meters	R _s , meters
300	67	220
1,000	150	500

^{*}Short Course in the Theory of Missile Firing. Publishing House of the Military Artillery Engineering Academy i/n F. E. Dzerzhinskiy, 1961.

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/09/27 : CIA-RDP10-00105R000302650001				

Page 10 of 18 Pages

It should be remembered as well that besides the direct effect of the shock wave there also is the indirect effect, which is manifested in the destruction of people by debris, fragments, and rocks, and by their being struck by objects when equipment, vehicles, etc. are overturned. The employment of a warhead with an active casing makes the action of a high-explosive warhead at the target approximately 1.5 times as effective. Hence the radius of the zone of medium destruction for charges weighing 300 and 1,000 kilograms is increased to 100 and 220 meters, respectively.

Cluster-type warheads filled with fragmentation or incendiary elements are promising from the standpoint of increasing the effectiveness of destroying personnel (equipment) in the open. The latter, incendiary, effect is especially important, since the experience of the war in Korea (1950 to 1953) and current combat actions in Vietnam corroborate the high effectiveness of employing viscous incendiary mixtures. Warheads filled with viscous incendiary mixtures on the one hand possess a fire effect, directly destroying personnel and equipment, and on the other hand are an incendiary means, causing fire in a considerable area, which under certain conditions can spread rapidly and thereby reduce or completely eliminate the combat operation of the air defense means. This being the case, it is important to note that due to the steep trajectory on which the particles of the incendiary mixture scatter, neither a trench nor a pit reduces their destructive characteristics. It also should be taken into account that the neutralization effect resulting from R-300 missile strikes is achieved not only by rupture of the warhead, but also by explosion of the assured residual propellant components, after which large fires are generated. The results of the preliminary calculations made using the "P-1B" chart* for cluster-type tactical missiles and R-300 missiles with active casings (according to Table 2) provide the basis for determining the average expenditure of missiles for neutralizing the most important enemy air defense means.

50X1-HUM

*<u>Military Herald</u>, No. 1, <u>1963, page 78</u>

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/09/27 : CIA-RDP10-00105R000302650001-0						

Page 11 of 18 Pages

50X1-HUM

Expenditure of Missiles with conventional warheads to neutralize air defense means when the set degree of destruction M=20 percent

Table 2

45 0		6-45 Over 8 16 8 12 8-9 14 18e, kms
	3-4 8 5-6 8 5-6 8-9	3ht ra
1-2	1-2 3-4 4-5	1-2 3-4 4-5 Missil Up to 100
	0.05	0.05
	0.6 up to 1	0.6 up to 1
DOSILION	Nike-Hercules battery position Control post	Nike-Hercules battery position Control post
		7 do



Page 12 of 18 Pages 50X1-HUM

For the convenience of operational calculations we will define the average expenditure of missiles to neutralize air defense means. As stated above, each installation must be neutralized for a relatively long time - 1.5 to two hours. Therefore the neutralization, especially by missiles, evidently should begin with a grouped strike (salvo) of two or three tactical missiles, and continue with alternating battery (single) strikes and grouped strikes, each time providing for the combined employment of missiles with conventional explosives and incendiary mixtures. The combination of warheads will allow faster disruption of the combat operation of the enemy air defense means.

For destruction by tactical missiles, the neutralization of Hawk surface-to-air missile positions should be considered the most typical objective. A Hawk battery can be neutralized in 20 to 30 minutes by the first grouped strike of three or four tactical missiles. To keep it in that status requires repeating such strikes another two or three times during the next one to 1.5 hours, and sometimes another two or three single strikes may be required between them. Thus, the average expenditure of tactical missiles to neutralize one Hawk-type surface-to-air missile installation is 12 to 16.

R-300 missiles will be employed most often against the most distant installations, and primarily against Nike-Hercules positions and airfields. Considering that the bulk of the Nike-Hercules batteries and a substantial number of the airfields will be located up to 160 kilometers away from the front line, the expenditure of missiles corresponding to a 200-kilometer range may be taken as a base. The sequence for delivering strikes may be approximately the same as when employing tactical missiles. In this case the average expenditure of R-300 missiles to neutralize one installation will be 12 to 17.

The complement of front rocket troops allocated to perform tasks to support the flight of the military transport aircraft with the landing force, in a number of instances may consist of at least five to six tactical missile battalions (15 to 18 launchers) and four to six missile brigades (36 to 54 launchers). This means that up to one-third of all the nuclear warhead $50 \times 1-HUM$ delivery vehicles of the front, in addition to medium-range strategic missiles and long range aviation, will be ready for the

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/09/27: CIA-RDP10-00105R000302650001-0

NC CC

Page 13 of 18 Pages

50X1-HUM

immediate employment of nuclear weapons in the front zone.

The combat (fire) output of the allocated rocket troops may vary, depending on the conditions of the situation. We think that in certain conditions an exception may be made by allowing two or three missile launches from one position for a short time. In this instance, by having launchers loaded for the initial strike (salvo) and the combat operation of the missile subunits and units properly organized, each launcher of the tactical missile battalions can carry out up to five launches from two positions in two hours, and the R-300 launcher -- up to two or three launches. The total fire output of the rocket troops allocated to destroy air defense means in support of the landing force will be 75 to 95 tactical missiles and 72 to 162 operational-tactical missiles.

Now, based on the average missile expenditure and fire output of the rocket troops, we may represent in its final form the capabilities of the rocket troops and artillery for neutralizing enemy air defense means to support the flight of a military transport aviation column to the landing zone. Artillery at a depth of up to 20 kilometers is able to neutralize six to eight antiaircraft batteries and one or two Hawk surface-to-air missile batteries. Tactical missile battalions can neutralize up to five to eight installations, and the allocated missile brigades -- up to five to 13 air defense installations. Thus, the allocated rocket troops and artillery will be able to neutralize a total of up to 17 to 31 installations. This means that in the variant in which military transport aviation flies at altitudes of up to 1,500 meters, up to 65 to 88 percent of the air defense means to be hit in support of the flight and landing, will be neutralized. For a flight at altitudes up to 6,000 meters the relative proportion of the participation of rocket troops and artillery in neutralizing air defense installations will be less, and will equal from 33 to 53 percent.*

50X1-HUM

*It is no longer necessary to neutralize antiaircraft artillery, and the total capabilities will be equivalent to neutralizing 11 to 23 installations instead of 17 to 23 (sic).

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for	or Release 2012/09/27 : CIA-RDP10-00105R000302650001-0
	Page 14 of 12 Dages
tront, as well as aviation the flight of the landing participation is characted organization and support, from one-third to two-thing air defense installations	cops and artillery of the armies and on, may play a major role in supporting force. The extent of their erized by the fact that, with appropriate, they are able to take upon themselves irds of the total tasks to destroy enemy in support of the landing operation, cable aviation forces to perform a wider
	50x1-HUM
	50X1-HUM

Declassified in Part -	- Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/09/27 : CIA-RDP10-00105R000302650001-0
	Page 15 of 18 Pages
	50х1-ним Key to diagram
	Antiaircraft batteries (battalions) of automatic weapons
	Airfields
	Nike-Hercules surface-to-air missile battery
	Hawk surface-to-air missile battery
" — " II	Control and warning post
<i>1</i> / − <i></i>	Long-range detection post
	Control and warning center
	Antiaircraft artillery brigade command post
DUC	Air defense sector operations center
	Surface-to-air missile group command post

	Page 16	of 18 Pages
		50X1-HUM
	Limits of Nike-Hercules surface-to-air miss kill zone	ile
X	Limits of Hawk surface-to-air missile kill	zone
— П <i>i</i> —	Limits of control post destruction zone	
	Military Transport Aviation flight zone	
	Zones of maximum range of strikes	
Marie	Operational-tactical missiles	
	Tactical missiles	
TAK	Allied tactical air force	
А	Air army	
А	Tank army	
	Army	
·Κ	Army corps	
3Ф	13th Front	0X1-HUM

Declassified in Part	- Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2012/09/27 : CIA-RE)P10-00)105	R00	0302	650001-0	٦
		Dage	17	o.f	1 0	Dages	
		Page 17 of 18		rages			
ФРБР	Front missile brigade	50X1-HUM					
АРБР	Army missile brigade						
АРБР [2 ЭШ]	Army missile brigade (2nd echelon)						
ОРДН РВГК	Separate missile battalion of the Reserve of the Supreme High Command						
	•				٠		
,							
	ı						
		F 0.					
		502	К1-Н	UM			



Page 18 of $18 \underset{50 \text{X1-HUM}}{\text{Pages}}$

Diagram of the possible position of enemy air defense means for opposing the flight and landing of the airborne landing force by the end of the second or third day of the front offensive operation

